Clerk / RFO to Braishfield Parish Council, Kate

Jimmy Chestnutt, Braishfield Village

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL, PLANNING COMMITTEE

Minutes of Meeting held at Braishfield Village Hall on 11 September 2018, 9:00 am to 10:20 am

Others

Orange

Association

Present

Members of Braishfield Parish Council

Chairman of the Committee, Cllr Richard

Brazier

Chairman of the Parish Council, Cllr Jane

Vice Chairman of the Parish Council, Cllr Mike 1 member of the public

Edwards

Councillor Carole Renvoize

Councillor Peter White

Apologies

Apologies were received from Councillor Ian Knights, who had a family commitment; 43. and Cllr Mark Swinstead who had a work commitment.

Interests

No Councillor declared any financial or personal interest pertaining to any business 44. on the agenda for the Meeting.

Accuracy of the Minutes of the last Meeting

The Committee agreed the accuracy of the Minutes of the Meeting held on 7th 45. August 2018 and a copy was signed by the Chairman.

Comments on Test Valley Borough Council's "Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan"

The Committee considered the information provided by Test Valley Borough Council 46. and made decided comments for the "Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan", which are recorded in the document "BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS Test Valley Borough Council Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan" dated 11 September 2018.

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS

Test Valley Borough Council

Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan

Braishfield Parish Council has considered the above consultation and makes the following comments:

#	Question	Comment
1	What is good about living and/or working in Test Valley?	High quality of surroundings: Living in rural communities with easy access to commercial centres (or living in pleasant towns with easy access to countryside)
2	What could be improved about living and/or working in Test Valley?	Local healthcare provision, including access; traffic congestion and excessive use of country roads for non-local destinations.
3	What should the Local Plan aspirations be for the next 20 years?	Give local people more influence over the local plan over next 20 years
4	Should the Local Plan's housing requirement be consistent with Governments standard	No – we should use a local plan, not government methods.
	methodology? Do you have any evidence to support your view?	Figures are hard to understand: 588 dwellings per year implies 1370 people per year at current density, but projected population increase = 570 people per year
5	Should the Local Plan increase its housing requirement to help support economic growth? If yes, do you have any evidence to support this?	No clear evidence to support this. Housing should result from economic growth not be used as an instrument to encourage it.
6	Do you think the HMA boundary is broadly right? If not, how and why do you think it should be changed?	Yes.
7	Are there any other approaches to distributing development across the Borough that we should consider?	1) No 2) Factor in village infrastructure (school, shop, pub, club, churches, etc) 3) A purely numerical approach ignores issues of (a) availability of services, particularly public transport, (b) overuse of country roads, (c) proximity to employment. Some method of taking account of those factors should be devised.
8	Do you have any comments on the approaches suggested above?	Need to get clarity on the total number of houses expected for TVBC

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS (continued)

Test Valley Borough Council - Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan

#	Question	Comment
9	How should the settlement boundaries be defined in the	Current process encourages building in gardens and backland development – not a good idea.
	next Local Plan?	
10	Do you think we should	No change
	continue with seeking up to	
	40% of new homes to be	
	affordable, or should we	
	change the percentage?	
11	What should the trigger be for	Smaller percentage of affordable on smaller
''	seeking affordable housing?	sites?
12	Should we allow market	No
	housing	
	on rural affordable exception	
	sites?	
13	How should we meet the	Self build is a bad deal for a village – no
	requirement for Self Build	contribution is made to village infrastructure.
	plots?	Self build should pay CILs like any other.
	Should it be as part of sites	
	over	Experience also shows that the build process
	a certain threshold or separate sites?	takes much longer and causes more nuisance
4.4		as a result.
14	Should we establish a policy that covers dwellings in the	No – this policy simply seems to serve the super-rich, who don't appear to add much to
	countryside which are of	the local community.
	exceptional quality?	the local community.
15	Should the Council change	Yes – encourage housing for "downsizing" for
.	its approach and set out a	the elderly – including bungalows
	requirement that certain sites	, 8
	should provide for the needs of	
	such groups as the elderly?	
16	Should we include a policy	A policy is a good idea – else it is likely that the
	that requires a mix and type of	majority of "market" homes will be large &
	housing, or should the housing	expensive ones.
	market inform what mix and	
	type of housing to build?	NI a ali a sa sta
17	Should we restrict the size of	No change
	replacements and extensions	
	to dwellings in the countryside to	
	keep a range of dwellings?	
18	Should the Council establish	Yes: Higher densities could destroy the
	density standards in the Local	character of Braishfield.
	Plan?	
19	Do you think we should	Yes: Unclear what this means – it is not
	establish internal space	discussed in the document.
	standards for future homes?	

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS (continued) Test Valley Borough Council - Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan

#	Question	Comment
20	Do you think we should establish standards for accessible, adaptable and wheelchair user dwellings?	Yes
21	Should the Local Plan set out a definition of rural worker? And if so what should it include?	Caution is needed here – this approach has been abused in the past.
22	How do you think we should best meet Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople's needs?	Consultation with localities
23	Do you agree that we should have a specific policy on health and wellbeing? What sort of issues do you think it should cover?	Need policies and approaches to encourage all (and especially children) to be active. Need to have a plan that goes well beyond "public open spaces" – there is a great need for easily accessible indoor sports and recreation facilities. Current policy is far too narrow, especially for an elderly population. Restriction of food types to be sold in food shops seems unlikely to have significant effect but may be worth trying.
24	Should some types of facilities and services be given more protection than others?	Yes – the Braishfield Pantry is a great example of what is required – support such be provided for community enterprises of this kind
25	Should we continue to protect all existing community facilities and services?	Yes
26	Should we allocate more land to enable more choice and flexibility to the market?	Yes – the amount of land for businesses is very limited Need concern over effects on traffic
27	What are your views on promoting smaller workspaces within the Borough?	Good availability of modern small premises is important. Fast broadband is very important Need to be concerned about traffic impacts
28	What provisions or controls should be made relating to people working from home?	Home working which does not generate either more traffic or on-street parking is unobjectionable, and should be encouraged if it reduces vehicle movements. Need care regarding the kind of work at home: professional, clerical & office generally OK, but work involving noise, traffic, etc undesirable in domestic environs.

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS (continued)

Test Valley Borough Council - Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan

#	Question	Comment
29	Should the Council continue to encourage retail uses within primary frontages or should a more flexible approach be taken with a greater range of uses being allowed?	Retail use should be preserved as far as possible, but it seems idle to suggest this when business rates are decimating town-centre retail outlets. TVBC & HCC continue to decrease the amount of parking in Romsey town centre – this drives potential shoppers away since most of them need to use cars to get there.
30	How should we best continue seeking apprenticeships?	Enterprises require to be of a minimum size to provide apprenticeships, which runs contrary to the trend towards smaller businesses. We have no constructive suggestions.
31	What should be included in any tourism policy in the next local plan?	More places for tourists to stay. Romsey is pitifully short of hotel & B&B accommodation. There is a need for a hotel of the "Premier Inn" class, for example. More attention to road access and parking near to tourist centres.
32	Should there be measures to support tourism proposals, and if so, what?	Allocate site(s), but keep developments in character with the area
33	Should we continue to retain the principle of Local Gaps? Should we define specific boundaries or a more general policy which aims to avoid coalescence?	Yes.
34	Should the Local Plan identify and designate Local Areas of Green Space or should this be undertaken via Neighbourhood Plans?	Yes because not every parish can or will produce a Neighbourhood Plan, leaving some Gaps unidentified. Best to work with local people on this, in general.
35	Should the next Local Plan continue to promote water efficiency from new developments?	Yes, but it is unclear what this means.
36	Should we identify suitable sites for renewable energy, including onshore wind, in the Local Plan?	Dubious. Local "solar farm" schemes have occupied prime farmland – this makes no sense. Onshore wind is highly controversial and with good reasons. Local plan should require all new housing to have solar electricity & solar hot water.

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS (continued)

Test Valley Borough Council - Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan

#	Question	Comment
37	If so, which areas of	None on farmland.
	the Borough would be	Install solar energy devices on buildings –
	appropriate and for which	existing & new.
	types of technology (e.g. wind turbines, solar	
	photovoltaic panels)?	
38	Should the Local Plan	Yes
30	encourage energy	165
	efficiency when	
	constructing new	
	development?	
39	How can we improve	This needs a lot of work. Abbotswood is poor in
	design quality within the	design terms. Emphasize the VDS as a good
	Borough?	approach to better design.
40	Should the local plan be	The current local plan is obsessive on outdoor
	specific on the type of	open space. There is just as much need for
	open space to provide or	indoor recreation spaces – and the borough is
	should it take account of	NOT "well served by leisure provision".
	existing provision/ future	
	requirements?	
41	Should we continue to set a	For villages, any provision needs to be made in
	per dwelling or per hectare	or near the village – it is not good to expect
	standard for recreational	that people have to travel long distances for
	open space provision on	facilities.
	residential developments?	
	Or, should the Council	
	require the provision of	
	recreational open space on	
	residential developments to be based on the needs	
	set out in the Playing Pitch Strategy?	
42	Should alternative open	Specific allocation is necessary. Every area's
'-	space for mitigation	needs are likely to be different and we would
	be provided as part	not wish to see a rigid policy which excludes
	of new developments	alternative approaches.
	or should land be	
	specifically allocated, or a	
	combination?	
43	Is there anything additional	No. Not knowing how the policy works, we
	which the Council should	cannot comment.
	be taking account of?	

BRAISHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS (continued) Test Valley Borough Council - Issues and Options consultation for the next Local Plan

#	Question	Comment
44	How can the Council promote more sustainable forms of transport such as walking, cycling and public transport?	Provide facilities to support these forms of transport. e.g. Why is there no bus shelter outside Hillers Arboretum – a potentially busy stop made unwelcoming. e.g Build the Crook Hill footpath Many country roads lack footpaths which is an obvious disincentive to walking. We have seen recent developments increasing traffic burdens on roads which have been identified in the context of previous developments as being already at full capacity, without any account being taken of the effect of the developments currently ongoing.
45	How do you think the Council should be making provision for parking within new development?	Usually, not enough provision for parking is made – there is typically no allocation for parking by visitors - where are they supposed to go? Off-road parking particularly
46	Do you agree with the Council's current approach or are there changes you would like to see made?	It is notable that no questions were asked about health services provision. This is a big hole in the current local plan. TVBC needs to do some proper planning for local health services in Romsey. The current 3 surgery buildings are inadequate and are very hard to access. Parking is very difficult – a key need for patients from the outlying villages. TVBC has done zero planning to provide for new and more appropriate facilities, which are urgently needed – a proposal for a new surgery on the bypass site was even turned down by TVBC some years ago. It is time TVBC woke up to the problems. Provision of electric charging points may become essential, though at present the situation is fluid.